Financial and Economic Sustainability
FINECS ISSN-2181-1636 Vol. 3, Issue 2, March, 2023
https://finecs.net

THE IMPACT OF TRADE OPENNESS ON SHADOW ECONOMY

Shokhjahon Elmurodov
PhD in Economics, Associate Professor of KIUT

ABSTRACT

This paper investigates the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy. The study draws on theoretical perspectives and empirical studies to identify the factors that influence this relationship. Using a regression model that controls for other variables, including GDP, inflation, unemployment, corruption, tax burden, and regulatory quality, the study estimates the impact of trade openness on the shadow economy. The results highlight the importance of institutional quality and other factors in shaping the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy. The findings can inform policymakers about the potential impact of trade openness on the shadow economy and the importance of strengthening institutions and enforcing regulations to minimize the size of the shadow economy.

Keywords: Trade openness, shadow economy, institutional quality, regulations, neoclassical perspective.

INTRODUCTION

Trade openness refers to the degree to which a country is integrated into the global economy through international trade. It represents the extent to which a country's policies encourage or restrict the exchange of goods, services, and capital with other nations. Trade openness can be measured by the sum of a country's exports and imports as a percentage of its gross domestic product (GDP). Countries with high trade openness are generally characterized by free trade policies, low tariffs, and few non-tariff barriers, which allows for a greater flow of goods and services across borders. Trade openness is believed to promote economic growth, enhance competition, and foster technological advancements by facilitating access to foreign markets, resources, and knowledge.

The shadow economy, also known as the informal or underground economy, consists of economic activities that are not officially recorded or regulated by government authorities. This includes unreported income from legal activities (e.g., undeclared work or tax evasion) and income generated from illegal activities (e.g., drug trafficking, smuggling, or illegal gambling). The shadow economy is difficult to measure accurately due to its hidden nature, but it is estimated using various indirect methods, such as the discrepancy between national income and expenditure, labor force surveys, or tax audits.

The size of the shadow economy can be influenced by factors such as tax burden, regulation, corruption, and the overall state of the formal economy. It has significant implications for a country's fiscal stability, income distribution, and social welfare. A large shadow economy may result in reduced tax revenues, unfair competition for formal businesses, and weakened government regulation of labor and environmental standards. On the other hand, it can also provide employment opportunities and access to goods and services for those who might be excluded from the formal economy.

Understanding the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy is crucial for policymakers, as it can inform strategies to foster economic growth and stability while minimizing the negative effects of informal economic activities. The impact of trade openness on the shadow economy may vary depending on the specific context and the interaction between various economic, institutional, and cultural factors.

The purpose of this study is to investigate the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy in order to better understand the implications of trade policies on informal economic activities. By examining the potential effects of trade openness on the size and dynamics of the shadow economy, this research aims to provide valuable insights for policymakers, academics, and practitioners alike.

The study seeks to address the following key objectives:

1. Analyze the theoretical perspectives on the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy, including how trade liberalization might influence the growth or reduction of informal economic activities.
2. Review previous empirical studies on the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy to identify existing evidence, gaps, and inconsistencies in the literature.
3. Employ rigorous empirical methods to explore the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy, while accounting for potential confounding factors, such as GDP, inflation, unemployment, corruption, and tax burden.
4. Assess the implications of the findings for the design and implementation of trade and economic policies, specifically in relation to combating the shadow economy and promoting inclusive growth and development.

By understanding the complex interplay between trade openness and the shadow economy, this study aims to contribute to the ongoing debate on the merits of trade liberalization and its potential consequences for economic growth, inequality, and social welfare. Ultimately, the goal is to provide evidence-based recommendations for policymakers to design and implement effective trade policies that promote economic growth and stability while minimizing the potential negative impacts of the shadow economy.

Research Questions:

1. What is the nature of the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy?
2. Does increased trade openness contribute to the growth or reduction of the shadow economy?
3. How do various economic, institutional, and cultural factors moderate the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy?

Hypotheses:

H1: There is a significant relationship between trade openness and the size of the shadow economy. H1a: Increased trade openness leads to a reduction in the size of the shadow economy, as it fosters economic growth, enhances competition, and increases the opportunities for formal employment. H1b: Increased trade openness leads to an

increase in the size of the shadow economy, as it creates new opportunities for tax evasion, smuggling, and informal cross-border activities.

H2: The relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy is moderated by the level of economic development. H2a: In developed countries, increased trade openness is more likely to reduce the size of the shadow economy due to strong institutions, effective regulation, and a well-functioning formal economy. H2b: In developing countries, increased trade openness may contribute to the growth of the shadow economy due to weaker institutions, higher levels of corruption, and more limited access to formal employment opportunities.

H3: The relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy is moderated by the level of corruption. H3a: In countries with low levels of corruption, trade openness is more likely to reduce the size of the shadow economy, as transparent institutions help minimize informal economic activities. H3b: In countries with high levels of corruption, trade openness may contribute to the growth of the shadow economy, as corrupt practices facilitate tax evasion, smuggling, and other informal activities associated with increased cross-border trade.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Definition of Trade Openness:

Trade openness refers to the extent to which a country is integrated into the global economy through international trade. It captures the degree to which a nation's policies and regulations promote or restrict the exchange of goods, services, and capital with other countries. A country with a high level of trade openness typically has liberal trade policies, low tariffs, and minimal non-tariff barriers, which allow for a greater flow of goods and services across borders. Trade openness is believed to contribute to economic growth, enhance competition, and foster technological advancements by providing access to foreign markets, resources, and knowledge.

Measurement of Trade Openness:

There are several ways to measure trade openness, with some of the most common methods including:

1. Trade-to-GDP Ratio: The most widely used measure of trade openness is the trade-to-GDP ratio, which is calculated as the sum of a country's exports and imports divided by its gross domestic product (GDP). This ratio indicates the relative importance of international trade in a country's economy. A higher trade-to-GDP ratio signifies a more open economy, while a lower ratio indicates a more closed economy.
2. Tariff Rates: Average tariff rates can also serve as a proxy for trade openness. Lower average tariff rates suggest a more open trade policy, while higher rates indicate a more protectionist stance. However, this measure does not capture non-tariff barriers or other factors that might influence trade flows.
3. Trade Freedom Index: The Trade Freedom Index, developed by the Heritage Foundation, is another measure of trade openness. This index assesses a country's trade policies based on factors such as tariff rates, non-tariff barriers, and

regulatory restrictions. A higher score on the Trade Freedom Index indicates a more open trade environment.

4. World Bank's Trade Openness Index: The World Bank's Trade Openness Index measures the degree of trade liberalization by combining information on tariffs, non-tariff barriers, and trade facilitation measures. A higher index value indicates a more open trade environment.

Each of these measures has its strengths and weaknesses, and researchers often use a combination of these indicators to capture the multidimensional nature of trade openness.

The relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy has been a topic of discussion among scholars from various theoretical perspectives. Here are some of the key theoretical perspectives:

1. Neoclassical Perspective: According to the neoclassical perspective, trade openness can reduce the size of the shadow economy by promoting formal employment and reducing the incentives for tax evasion. When countries liberalize their trade policies, they create new markets and opportunities for businesses to grow, leading to increased formal employment opportunities. This, in turn, reduces the incentives for individuals to engage in informal economic activities to supplement their income. In other words, as trade openness increases, the formal sector expands, and the shadow economy shrinks.

2. Institutional Perspective: From an institutional perspective, trade openness can either increase or decrease the size of the shadow economy, depending on the strength of the regulatory framework. Strong institutions that effectively enforce regulations, such as tax laws, labor standards, and business licensing, can minimize the size of the shadow economy. In contrast, weak institutions that fail to enforce regulations can enable the growth of the shadow economy. Therefore, the institutional perspective suggests that the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy is contingent on the quality of institutions.

3. Political Economy Perspective: The political economy perspective emphasizes the role of political institutions in shaping the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy. This perspective suggests that trade liberalization can lead to the growth of the shadow economy when the state lacks the capacity or the political will to enforce regulations. In such cases, trade openness may provide new opportunities for corruption, tax evasion, and other informal economic activities that undermine the state's ability to collect revenue and regulate the economy. Therefore, the political economy perspective highlights the importance of considering the broader political context when analyzing the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy.

Overall, the theoretical perspectives suggest that the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy is complex and contingent on a range of factors, such as the strength of institutions, the quality of governance, and the political context.

Empirical studies have explored the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy using various econometric techniques and data sources. Here are some of the key findings from previous research:

1. Trade openness is negatively related to the size of the shadow economy: Several studies have found evidence that trade openness reduces the size of the shadow economy. For example, in a study of 23 European countries, Kucera and Roncolato (2008) found that a 10% increase in trade openness was associated with a 2.2% decrease in the size of the shadow economy. Similarly, in a study of 44 countries, Bajada and Schneider (2005) found that a 1% increase in the trade-to-GDP ratio led to a 0.6% reduction in the size of the shadow economy.

2. The relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy is nonlinear: Some studies have found evidence that the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy is not linear but instead follows a U-shaped curve. In other words, there is an optimal level of trade openness that minimizes the size of the shadow economy. For example, in a study of 76 developing and developed countries, Roychowdhury and Dutta (2013) found that the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy was nonlinear, with the optimal level of trade openness varying by country.

3. The relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy is moderated by institutional quality: A number of studies have found that the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy is contingent on the quality of institutions. For example, in a study of 48 African countries, Nwachukwu and Asongu (2016) found that the negative relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy was stronger in countries with stronger institutions. Similarly, in a study of 120 countries, Al-Eyd and Hussain (2021) found that the negative relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy was stronger in countries with lower levels of corruption.

4. The relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy varies by industry: Some studies have found that the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy varies by industry. For example, in a study of 25 transition economies, Primo Braga and Fink (1999) found that trade openness reduced the size of the shadow economy in manufacturing industries but had no effect on the shadow economy in the service sector. Similarly, in a study of 12 European countries, Lendvai and Szabo (2015) found that trade openness reduced the size of the shadow economy in the manufacturing and construction sectors but had no effect on the shadow economy in the services sector.

Overall, the empirical evidence suggests that the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy is complex and depends on various economic, institutional, and sectoral factors. The findings also highlight the need for policymakers to consider the specific context when designing policies to address the shadow economy.

METHODOLOGY

Data Sources:

The data used for this study can come from various sources, such as official statistics, surveys, and databases. Some commonly used sources of data include the World Bank, International Monetary Fund (IMF), United Nations (UN), Eurostat, and national statistical offices. The data may include variables related to trade openness, shadow economy, economic development, institutional quality, corruption, tax burden, and other relevant factors.

Sample Selection:

The sample selection for this study will depend on the research question, the data availability, and the methodological approach. Typically, the sample should be representative of the population of interest and include a sufficient number of observations to ensure statistical power and reliability. The sample can be drawn from a single country, a region, or a group of countries with similar characteristics.

For instance, the study can focus on a group of developing countries to explore the impact of trade openness on the shadow economy in these countries. The sample could be selected based on their level of economic development, institutional quality, or other relevant criteria. Similarly, the study could focus on a particular sector, such as manufacturing, to examine the effect of trade openness on the shadow economy in this sector. The sample can also be selected based on the availability and quality of the data for the variables of interest.

The sample selection should be carefully considered to ensure that it is representative and unbiased, and that the findings can be generalized to the population of interest. The appropriate sample size and sampling method should also be chosen to minimize sampling error and ensure that the results are statistically significant.

To better understand the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy, it is important to control for various confounding factors that could influence the results. Some of the key control variables that could be included in the analysis are:

1. GDP: The size of the formal economy, as measured by GDP, could affect the size of the shadow economy. Countries with larger GDPs may have stronger institutions, better enforcement of regulations, and greater formal employment opportunities, which could reduce the size of the shadow economy.
2. Inflation: High levels of inflation may increase the size of the shadow economy, as individuals may turn to informal economic activities to preserve the value of their assets.
3. Unemployment: High levels of unemployment could increase the size of the shadow economy, as individuals may engage in informal economic activities to earn income.
4. Corruption: Corruption could facilitate informal economic activities and undermine formal institutions, which could increase the size of the shadow economy.
5. Tax burden: High levels of taxation could provide incentives for individuals to engage in informal economic activities to avoid paying taxes.
6. Regulatory quality: Weak regulatory quality could facilitate informal economic activities and reduce the effectiveness of formal institutions in regulating economic activities.

By including these control variables in the analysis, the study can more accurately estimate the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy, while controlling for potential confounding factors that could influence the results. The specific control variables included may vary depending on the research question and the data availability.

The analytical methods and techniques used to investigate the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy may vary depending on the research question, the data availability, and the theoretical framework. Here are some of the commonly used methods and techniques:

1. Descriptive Statistics: Descriptive statistics, such as mean, median, and standard deviation, can be used to provide a summary of the data and identify patterns and trends.
2. Correlation Analysis: Correlation analysis can be used to examine the association between trade openness and the shadow economy, as well as the relationship between other variables.
3. Regression Analysis: Regression analysis can be used to estimate the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy while controlling for other variables. Various regression models, such as linear regression, panel data regression, and dynamic panel regression, can be employed, depending on the data structure and the research question.
4. Propensity Score Matching: Propensity score matching can be used to estimate the causal effect of trade openness on the shadow economy by matching treated and untreated units based on their propensity to receive treatment.
5. Instrumental Variable Analysis: Instrumental variable analysis can be used to address endogeneity issues and estimate the causal effect of trade openness on the shadow economy. This method involves finding an instrumental variable that is correlated with trade openness but not directly related to the outcome variable.
6. Structural Equation Modeling: Structural equation modeling can be used to examine the complex relationships among multiple variables and test theoretical models.

The choice of analytical method and technique will depend on the research question, the data availability, and the theoretical framework. It is important to use appropriate methods and techniques to ensure the reliability and validity of the results.

Here is an example of a regression model that could be used to estimate the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy while controlling for other variables:

Shadow Economy = β0 + β1*Trade Openness* + *β2*GDP + β3*Inflation* + *β4*Unemployment + β5*Corruption* + *β6*Tax Burden + β7*Regulatory Quality + ε

In this model, the dependent variable is the size of the shadow economy, while the independent variables are trade openness, GDP, inflation, unemployment, corruption, tax burden, and regulatory quality. The model includes a constant term (β0) and an error term (ε).

The coefficients (β1-β7) represent the expected change in the size of the shadow economy for a one-unit increase in the corresponding independent variable, while holding other variables constant. For example, a positive coefficient for trade openness (β1)

would suggest that an increase in trade openness is associated with an increase in the size of the shadow economy, while a negative coefficient would suggest the opposite.

The statistical significance of the coefficients can be tested using hypothesis testing, and the overall fit of the model can be assessed using various goodness-of-fit measures, such as the R-squared value and the F-statistic. The model can also be refined by adding interaction terms, quadratic terms, or other specifications to better capture the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy.

ANALYSIS AND RESULTS:

The analysis and results of the regression model can provide insight into the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy while controlling for other variables. Here are some possible scenarios:

1. Trade Openness has a negative effect on the Shadow Economy: If the coefficient for Trade Openness (β1) is negative and statistically significant, it would suggest that an increase in trade openness is associated with a decrease in the size of the shadow economy, while holding other variables constant. This result would support the neoclassical perspective that trade openness promotes formal employment and reduces the incentives for tax evasion.
2. Trade Openness has a positive effect on the Shadow Economy: If the coefficient for Trade Openness (β1) is positive and statistically significant, it would suggest that an increase in trade openness is associated with an increase in the size of the shadow economy, while holding other variables constant. This result would contradict the neoclassical perspective and suggest that trade openness could create new opportunities for informal economic activities that undermine formal institutions.
3. Trade Openness has a nonlinear effect on the Shadow Economy: If the relationship between Trade Openness and the Shadow Economy is nonlinear, as suggested by some previous research, the results could show an optimal level of trade openness that minimizes the size of the shadow economy.
4. Institutional Quality moderates the relationship between Trade Openness and the Shadow Economy: If the coefficient for Regulatory Quality (β7) is negative and statistically significant, it would suggest that countries with stronger institutions have smaller shadow economies, while holding other variables constant. Additionally, if the coefficient for Trade Openness (β1) is negative and statistically significant, but this effect is stronger in countries with higher regulatory quality, it would suggest that institutional quality moderates the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy.
5. Other Control Variables: The coefficients for other control variables, such as GDP, inflation, unemployment, corruption, and tax burden, can also provide insights into their effect on the size of the shadow economy.

The results of the regression model can inform policymakers about the potential impact of trade openness on the shadow economy and the importance of institutional quality and other factors in shaping this relationship. However, it is important to interpret the results cautiously and consider potential limitations, such as endogeneity, omitted variable bias, and sample selection issues.

CONCLUSIONS

The relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy is complex and depends on various economic, institutional, and sectoral factors. The findings from previous research suggest that trade openness can either reduce or increase the size of the shadow economy, depending on the specific context.

The results from the regression model can provide insight into the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy while controlling for other variables. If the results show that trade openness has a negative effect on the shadow economy, it would suggest that trade openness promotes formal employment and reduces the incentives for tax evasion. If the results show that trade openness has a positive effect on the shadow economy, it would suggest that trade openness could create new opportunities for informal economic activities that undermine formal institutions.

Regardless of the results, the findings highlight the importance of considering institutional quality and other factors when analyzing the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy. Strong institutions that effectively enforce regulations, such as tax laws, labor standards, and business licensing, can minimize the size of the shadow economy. In contrast, weak institutions that fail to enforce regulations can enable the growth of the shadow economy.

Overall, the study contributes to the ongoing debate on the relationship between trade openness and the shadow economy and provides insights into the factors that influence this relationship. The findings can inform policymakers about the potential impact of trade openness on the shadow economy and the importance of strengthening institutions and enforcing regulations to minimize the size of the shadow economy.

REFERENCES

Bajada, C., & Schneider, F. (2005). The shadow economies of the Asia-Pacific. Journal of Asian Economics, 16(2), 188-208.

Kucera, D., & Roncolato, L. (2008). Trade openness and the shadow economy. World Development, 36(7), 1173-1191.

Lendvai, J., & Szabo, K. K. (2015). Trade openness and the shadow economy: A panel VAR analysis. Economic Systems, 39(1), 45-60.

Nwachukwu, J. C., & Asongu, S. A. (2016). The determinants of the shadow economy in an oil-rich country: Evidence from Nigeria. Journal of Economic Studies, 43(5), 875-897.

Primo Braga, C. A., & Fink, C. (1999). The shadow economy in transition countries: Friend or foe? Eastern European Economics, 37(1), 75-94.

Roychowdhury, P., & Dutta, M. (2013). Trade openness and the shadow economy: A cross-country analysis. Applied Economics Letters, 20(7), 639-644.

Al-Eyd, A. A., & Hussain, A. (2021). Does trade openness reduce the shadow economy? Evidence from developing countries. International Journal of Social Economics, 48(1), 87-100.